

## Evidence of Teaching Effectiveness at the University of Alberta

### Evaluations from the Universal Student Ratings of Instruction

	2005	2006		2007			2008	2009	2010	2011
Question	FREN 211	FREN 473	MLCS 570	FREN 473	FREN 298	MLCS 555	MLCS 555	FREN 372	MLCS 582	FREN 372
The goals and objectives of the course were clear.	4.1	4.3	4.8	4.4	4.4	4.4	3.9	4.8	4.1	4.7
In-class time was used effectively.	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.1	3.1	4.7	4.3	4.5
I am motivated to learn more about these subject areas.	4.3	4.4	4.3	3.9	4.6	3.3	3.4	4.5	3.8	4.6
I increased my knowledge of the subject areas in this course.	4.6	4.8	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.1	3.9	4.7	4.1	4.9
Overall, the quality of the course content was excellent.	4.1	4.4	4.0	4.4	4.3	3.8	3.2	4.5	3.9	4.7
The instructor spoke clearly.	4.6	4.9	4.9	5.0	4.8	4.7	4.1	4.8	4.6	4.8
The instructor was well prepared.	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.9	4.8	4.6	3.8	4.8	4.3	4.7
The instructor treated the students with respect.	4.8	4.9	4.9	5.0	4.8	4.6	4.2	4.9	4.8	4.7
The instructor provided constructive feedback throughout this course.	4.1	4.0	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.0	3.6	4.8	4.2	4.6
Overall, this instructor was excellent.	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.9	4.8	4.1	3.6	4.8	4.1	4.8

Please note that the range of scores goes from 1 (completely disagree) to 5 (completely agree).

ITEM	RESPONSES FROM YOUR STUDENTS					YOUR MEDIAN	RANKS OF MEDIANS FROM OTHER CLASSES				Total Classes
	1 SD	2 D	3 N	4 A	5 SA		Tuky Fenc	25th %ile	50th %ile	75th %ile	
(Administrative Text for USRI Inserted Here)											
21 The goals and objectives of the course were clear.	0	1	1	14	5	4.1	3.3	4.0	4.2	4.4	8104
22 In-class time was used effectively.	0	1	2	9	9	4.3	3.0	3.9	4.2	4.5	8113
23 I am motivated to learn more about these subject areas.	0	2	2	8	9	4.3	2.6	3.8	4.1	4.5	8106
24 I increased my knowledge of the subject areas in this course.	0	0	1	8	12	4.6	3.3	4.2	4.5	4.7	8108
25 Overall, the quality of the course content was excellent.	0	0	3	13	5	4.1	2.9	3.9	4.2	4.5	8108
674 The instructor spoke clearly.	0	0	1	9	11	4.6	3.3	4.2	4.6	4.8	7574
51 The instructor was well prepared.	0	0	0	8	13	4.7	3.3	4.2	4.6	4.8	8168
9 The instructor treated the students with respect.	0	0	0	5	16	4.8	3.6	4.4	4.7	4.8	8224
26 The instructor provided constructive feedback throughout this course.	0	1	4	10	6	4.1	2.8	3.9	4.2	4.5	8073
221 Overall, this instructor was excellent.	0	0	2	10	9	4.4	2.9	4.0	4.4	4.7	8224
7 The instructor presented the material in an interesting and helpful manner.	0	0	2	10	9	4.4	2.8	3.9	4.2	4.5	5527
209 The instructor was sensitive to student difficulties with course work.	1	1	3	8	8	4.2	2.7	3.8	4.2	4.6	2763
255 Class participation was actively encouraged.	0	0	1	8	12	4.6	3.4	4.3	4.6	4.8	3132
5 The course was well organized.	0	0	1	11	9	4.4	3.0	3.9	4.2	4.4	6760
728 The objectives, work requirements, and grading system were made clear in the written course outline.	0	1	4	8	8	4.2	3.1	4.0	4.3	4.6	2846
729 The type and amount of assigned work were appropriate to the goals of the course.	1	5	2	9	4	3.8	3.1	3.9	4.2	4.4	2627
14 The instructor assessed my work fairly.	0	0	0	13	8	4.3	3.1	4.0	4.2	4.5	6692

Number of students responding to questionnaire: 21

Reference Group consists of FACULTY OF Arts Classes  
 The reference data for the Universal Items is based on results beginning with Academic Year 2000/01 while other items use all data available.  
 Information about the contents of this report may be found on the Web at: [http://www.ualberta.ca/CNS/TSQS/IDQ\\_reports.html](http://www.ualberta.ca/CNS/TSQS/IDQ_reports.html)

ITEM	RESPONSES FROM YOUR STUDENTS					YOUR MEDIAN	RANKS OF MEDIANS FROM OTHER CLASSES				Total Classes
	1 SD	2 D	3 N	4 A	5 SA		Tuky Fenc	25th %ile	50th %ile	75th %ile	
(Administrative Text for USRI Inserted Here)											
21 The goals and objectives of the course were clear.	0	1	0	9	7	4.3	3.3	4.0	4.2	4.4	8869
22 In-class time was used effectively.	1	0	0	6	10	4.7	3.0	3.9	4.2	4.5	8878
23 I am motivated to learn more about these subject areas.	1	0	1	7	8	4.4	2.6	3.8	4.1	4.5	8871
24 I increased my knowledge of the subject areas in this course.	0	1	0	4	12	4.8	3.3	4.2	4.5	4.7	8873
25 Overall, the quality of the course content was excellent.	1	0	0	8	8	4.4	2.9	3.9	4.2	4.5	8873
674 The instructor spoke clearly.	0	0	1	3	13	4.9	3.3	4.2	4.6	4.8	8283
51 The instructor was well prepared.	0	0	0	5	12	4.8	3.3	4.2	4.6	4.8	8932
9 The instructor treated the students with respect.	0	0	1	3	13	4.9	3.6	4.4	4.7	4.9	8996
26 The instructor provided constructive feedback throughout this course.	1	0	3	9	4	4.0	2.8	3.9	4.2	4.5	8827
221 Overall, this instructor was excellent.	1	0	0	5	11	4.7	2.9	4.0	4.4	4.7	8996
7 The instructor presented the material in an interesting and helpful manner.	1	0	2	5	9	4.6	2.8	3.9	4.2	4.5	5700
209 The instructor was sensitive to student difficulties with course work.	1	0	0	7	9	4.6	2.7	3.8	4.2	4.6	2864
255 Class participation was actively encouraged.	1	0	0	7	9	4.6	3.4	4.3	4.6	4.8	3261
5 The course was well organized.	1	0	0	4	12	4.8	3.0	3.9	4.2	4.5	7067
728 The objectives, work requirements, and grading system were made clear in the written course outline.	1	0	0	8	8	4.4	3.1	4.0	4.3	4.6	2975
729 The type and amount of assigned work were appropriate to the goals of the course.	1	0	0	10	6	4.3	3.1	3.9	4.2	4.4	2731
14 The instructor assessed my work fairly.	1	0	0	5	11	4.7	3.1	4.0	4.2	4.5	6946

Number of students responding to questionnaire: 17

Reference Group consists of FACULTY OF Arts Classes

The reference data for the Universal Items is based on results beginning with Academic Year 2000/01 while other items use all data available.  
 Information about the contents of this report may be found on the Web at: [http://www.ualberta.ca/CNS/TSQS/IDQ\\_reports.html](http://www.ualberta.ca/CNS/TSQS/IDQ_reports.html)

ITEM	RESPONSES FROM YOUR STUDENTS					YOUR MEDIAN	RANKS OF MEDIAN FROM OTHER CLASSES				Total Classes
	1	2	3	4	5		Tuky	25th	50th	75th	
	SD	D	N	A	SA		Fenc	%ile	%ile	%ile	
(Administrative Text for USRI Inserted Here)											
21 The goals and objectives of the course were clear.	0	0	1	5	5	4.4	3.3	4.0	4.2	4.5	9999+
22 In-class time was used effectively.	0	0	1	2	8	4.8	3.0	3.9	4.2	4.5	9999+
23 I am motivated to learn more about these subject areas.	0	0	3	6	2	3.9	2.6	3.8	4.2	4.5	9999+
24 I increased my knowledge of the subject areas in this course.	0	0	0	6	5	4.4	3.3	4.2	4.5	4.7	9999+
25 Overall, the quality of the course content was excellent.	0	0	0	6	5	4.4	2.9	3.9	4.2	4.5	9999+
674 The instructor spoke clearly.	0	0	0	0	10	5.0	3.3	4.2	4.6	4.8	9927
51 The instructor was well prepared.	0	0	0	2	8	4.9	3.3	4.2	4.6	4.8	9999+
9 The instructor treated the students with respect.	0	0	0	0	11	5.0	3.6	4.4	4.7	4.9	9999+
26 The instructor provided constructive feedback throughout this course.	0	0	0	3	7	4.8	2.8	3.9	4.2	4.6	9999+
221 Overall, this instructor was excellent.	0	0	0	2	8	4.9	2.9	4.0	4.4	4.7	9999+
7 The instructor presented the material in an interesting and helpful manner.	0	0	0	8	2	4.1	2.8	3.9	4.2	4.5	6113
209 The instructor was sensitive to student difficulties with course work.	0	0	1	4	5	4.5	2.7	3.9	4.2	4.6	3121
255 Class participation was actively encouraged.	0	0	0	5	5	4.5	3.4	4.3	4.6	4.8	3575
5 The course was well organized.	0	0	0	4	6	4.7	3.0	3.9	4.2	4.5	7744
728 The objectives, work requirements, and grading system were made clear in the written course outline.	0	0	0	7	4	4.3	3.1	4.0	4.3	4.6	3289
729 The type and amount of assigned work were appropriate to the goals of the course.	0	0	0	7	4	4.3	3.1	3.9	4.2	4.4	2992
14 The instructor assessed my work fairly.	0	0	0	2	9	4.9	3.1	4.0	4.2	4.6	7488

Number of students responding to questionnaire: 11

**Reference Group consists of FACULTY OF Arts Classes**

The reference data for the Universal Items is based on results beginning with Academic Year 2000/01 while other items use all data available. Information about the contents of this report may be found on the Web at: [http://www.ualberta.ca/CNS/TSQS/IDQ\\_reports.html](http://www.ualberta.ca/CNS/TSQS/IDQ_reports.html)

ITEM	RESPONSES FROM YOUR STUDENTS					YOUR MEDIAN	RANKS OF MEDIANS FROM OTHER CLASSES				Total Classes
	1 SD	2 D	3 N	4 A	5 SA		Tuky Fenc	25th %ile	50th %ile	75th %ile	
(Administrative Text for USRI Inserted Here)											
21 The goals and objectives of the course were clear.	0	0	1	10	9	4.4	3.3	4.0	4.2	4.4	9999+
22 In-class time was used effectively.	0	0	1	9	10	4.5	3.0	4.0	4.2	4.6	9999+
23 I am motivated to learn more about these subject areas.	0	1	0	8	11	4.6	2.4	3.6	4.0	4.4	9999+
24 I increased my knowledge of the subject areas in this course.	0	0	1	10	9	4.4	3.2	4.1	4.3	4.7	9999+
25 Overall, the quality of the course content was excellent.	0	0	1	11	8	4.3	2.9	3.8	4.1	4.4	9999+
674 The instructor spoke clearly.	0	0	0	5	15	4.8	3.1	4.1	4.5	4.8	9999+
51 The instructor was well prepared.	0	0	1	4	15	4.8	3.2	4.2	4.5	4.8	9999+
9 The instructor treated the students with respect.	0	1	0	4	15	4.8	3.6	4.4	4.7	4.9	9999+
26 The instructor provided constructive feedback throughout this course.	0	0	3	7	10	4.5	2.8	3.8	4.2	4.5	9999+
221 Overall, this instructor was excellent.	0	1	0	4	15	4.8	2.9	4.0	4.4	4.7	9999+
7 The instructor presented the material in an interesting and helpful manner.	0	0	1	9	10	4.5	2.7	3.8	4.1	4.5	9999+
209 The instructor was sensitive to student difficulties with course work.	0	0	2	12	6	4.2	2.7	3.8	4.1	4.5	5330
255 Class participation was actively encouraged.	0	0	0	11	9	4.4	3.4	4.3	4.6	4.8	4239
5 The course was well organized.	0	0	0	14	6	4.2	3.0	3.8	4.1	4.4	9999+
728 The objectives, work requirements, and grading system were made clear in the written course outline.	0	2	0	7	11	4.6	3.1	4.0	4.3	4.6	3492
729 The type and amount of assigned work were appropriate to the goals of the course.	0	1	1	12	6	4.2	3.1	3.9	4.2	4.4	3168
14 The instructor assessed my work fairly.	0	0	2	9	9	4.4	3.0	3.8	4.1	4.4	9999+

Number of students responding to questionnaire: 20

Reference Group consists of ALL Classes

The reference data for the Universal Items is based on results beginning with Academic Year 2005/06 while other items use all data available.  
 Information about the contents of this report may be found on the Web at: [http://www.ualberta.ca/AICT/TSQS/IDQ\\_reports.html](http://www.ualberta.ca/AICT/TSQS/IDQ_reports.html)

ITEM	RESPONSES FROM YOUR STUDENTS					YOUR MEDIAN	RANKS OF MEDIANS FROM OTHER CLASSES					Total Classes
	1	2	3	4	5		Tuky	25th	50th	75th		
	SD	D	N	A	SA		Fenc	%ile	%ile	%ile		
(Administrative Text for USRI Inserted Here)												
21 The goals and objectives of the course were clear.	0	0	2	6	19	4.8	3.3	4.0	4.2	4.5	9999+	
22 In-class time was used effectively.	0	0	0	10	17	4.7	3.0	4.0	4.3	4.6	9999+	
23 I am motivated to learn more about these subject areas.	0	3	3	7	14	4.5	2.5	3.6	4.0	4.4	9999+	
24 I increased my knowledge of the subject areas in this course.	0	0	1	9	17	4.7	3.2	4.1	4.4	4.7	9999+	
25 Overall, the quality of the course content was excellent.	0	0	0	13	14	4.5	2.9	3.9	4.1	4.5	9999+	
674 The instructor spoke clearly.	0	0	0	8	19	4.8	3.1	4.1	4.5	4.8	9999+	
51 The instructor was well prepared.	0	0	2	7	18	4.8	3.2	4.2	4.5	4.8	9999+	
9 The instructor treated the students with respect.	0	0	0	6	21	4.9	3.7	4.4	4.7	4.9	9999+	
26 The instructor provided constructive feedback throughout this course.	0	0	1	7	19	4.8	2.8	3.9	4.2	4.6	9999+	
221 Overall, this instructor was excellent.	0	0	0	9	18	4.8	2.9	4.0	4.4	4.7	9999+	
7 The instructor presented the material in an interesting and helpful manner.	0	1	2	8	16	4.7	2.7	3.8	4.1	4.5	9999+	
209 The instructor was sensitive to student difficulties with course work.	0	1	4	5	17	4.7	2.7	3.8	4.1	4.5	6155	
255 Class participation was actively encouraged.	0	0	1	5	21	4.9	3.4	4.3	4.7	4.8	4959	
5 The course was well organized.	0	0	0	10	17	4.7	3.0	3.8	4.1	4.4	9999+	
728 The objectives, work requirements, and grading system were made clear in the written course outline.	0	0	1	8	18	4.8	3.2	4.1	4.3	4.6	4149	
729 The type and amount of assigned work were appropriate to the goals of the course.	0	0	3	8	16	4.7	3.1	3.9	4.2	4.5	3713	
14 The instructor assessed my work fairly.	0	0	3	8	16	4.7	2.9	3.8	4.1	4.4	9999+	

Number of students responding to questionnaire: 27

**Reference Group consists of ALL Classes**

The reference data for the Universal Items is based on results beginning with Academic Year 2005/06 while other items use all data available.  
 Information about the contents of this report may be found on the Web at: [https://www.aict.ualberta.ca/unit/client-services/TSQS/idq/IDQ\\_reports](https://www.aict.ualberta.ca/unit/client-services/TSQS/idq/IDQ_reports)

ITEM	RESPONSES FROM YOUR STUDENTS					YOUR MEDIAN	RANKS OF MEDIANS FROM OTHER CLASSES				Total Classes
	1 SD	2 D	3 N	4 A	5 SA		Tuky Fenc	25th %ile	50th %ile	75th %ile	
(Administrative Text for USRI Inserted Here)											
21 The goals and objectives of the course were clear.	0	0	1	8	14	4.7	3.2	4.0	4.2	4.5	9999+
22 In-class time was used effectively.	0	0	1	10	12	4.5	3.0	4.0	4.3	4.6	9999+
23 I am motivated to learn more about these subject areas.	0	2	2	6	13	4.6	2.5	3.7	4.0	4.4	9999+
24 I increased my knowledge of the subject areas in this course.	0	0	0	5	18	4.9	3.2	4.1	4.4	4.7	9999+
25 Overall, the quality of the course content was excellent.	0	0	1	8	14	4.7	2.9	3.9	4.1	4.5	9999+
674 The instructor spoke clearly.	0	0	0	7	16	4.8	3.1	4.2	4.6	4.8	9999+
51 The instructor was well prepared.	0	0	0	8	15	4.7	3.3	4.2	4.6	4.8	9999+
9 The instructor treated the students with respect.	0	0	0	9	14	4.7	3.7	4.4	4.7	4.9	9999+
26 The instructor provided constructive feedback throughout this course.	1	0	0	9	13	4.6	2.8	3.9	4.2	4.6	9999+
221 Overall, this instructor was excellent.	0	0	1	5	17	4.8	2.9	4.0	4.4	4.7	9999+
7 The instructor presented the material in an interesting and helpful manner.	0	0	2	11	10	4.4	2.7	3.8	4.1	4.5	9999+
209 The instructor was sensitive to student difficulties with course work.	0	1	3	8	11	4.4	2.7	3.8	4.1	4.5	6756
255 Class participation was actively encouraged.	0	0	0	9	14	4.7	3.5	4.3	4.7	4.8	5451
5 The course was well organized.	0	0	0	11	12	4.5	3.0	3.9	4.1	4.4	9999+
728 The objectives, work requirements, and grading system were made clear in the written course outline.	0	0	2	7	14	4.7	3.2	4.1	4.4	4.6	4621
729 The type and amount of assigned work were appropriate to the goals of the course.	0	0	4	5	14	4.7	3.1	4.0	4.2	4.5	4152
14 The instructor assessed my work fairly.	0	0	2	8	13	4.6	2.9	3.9	4.1	4.4	9999+

Number of students responding to questionnaire: 23

Reference Group consists of ALL Classes

The reference data for the Universal Items is based on results beginning with Academic Year 2005/06 while other items use all data available.  
 Information about the contents of this report may be found on the Web at: [https://www.aict.ualberta.ca/unit/client-services/TSQS/idq/IDQ\\_reports](https://www.aict.ualberta.ca/unit/client-services/TSQS/idq/IDQ_reports)

ITEM	RESPONSES FROM YOUR STUDENTS					YOUR MEDIAN	RANKS OF MEDIANS FROM OTHER CLASSES				Total Classes
	1	2	3	4	5		Tuky Fenc	25th %ile	50th %ile	75th %ile	
	SD	D	N	A	SA						
(Administrative Text for USRI Inserted Here)											
21 The goals and objectives of the course were clear.	0	1	3	11	2	3.9	3.3	4.1	4.3	4.5	5817
22 In-class time was used effectively.	2	4	4	5	2	3.1	3.0	4.0	4.3	4.6	5827
23 I am motivated to learn more about these subject areas.	0	5	4	8	0	3.4	2.7	3.8	4.2	4.6	5815
24 I increased my knowledge of the subject areas in this course.	1	2	2	9	3	3.9	3.4	4.2	4.5	4.8	5817
25 Overall, the quality of the course content was excellent.	0	3	8	6	0	3.2	3.0	4.0	4.3	4.6	5817
674 The instructor spoke clearly.	0	0	3	9	5	4.1	3.4	4.3	4.6	4.8	5417
51 The instructor was well prepared.	0	2	4	9	2	3.8	3.4	4.3	4.6	4.8	5810
9 The instructor treated the students with respect.	0	0	2	9	6	4.2	3.9	4.5	4.8	4.9	5871
26 The instructor provided constructive feedback throughout this course.	0	2	6	7	2	3.6	2.8	3.9	4.3	4.6	5790
221 Overall, this instructor was excellent.	1	2	5	9	0	3.6	3.1	4.1	4.5	4.8	5901
7 The instructor presented the material in an interesting and helpful manner.	1	1	8	6	1	3.3	2.8	3.9	4.2	4.5	6772
209 The instructor was sensitive to student difficulties with course work.	1	1	5	8	2	3.7	2.7	3.9	4.2	4.6	3547
255 Class participation was actively encouraged.	0	0	2	13	2	4.0	3.5	4.3	4.7	4.8	4092
5 The course was well organized.	1	0	8	7	1	3.4	3.0	3.9	4.2	4.5	8762
728 The objectives, work requirements, and grading system were made clear in the written course outline.	0	1	5	9	2	3.8	3.2	4.1	4.3	4.6	3806
729 The type and amount of assigned work were appropriate to the goals of the course.	0	7	4	6	0	2.9	3.1	3.9	4.2	4.5	3424
14 The instructor assessed my work fairly.	0	0	5	9	2	3.8	3.1	4.0	4.3	4.6	8405

Number of students responding to questionnaire: 17

Reference Group consists of FACULTY OF Arts Classes

The reference data for the Universal Items is based on results beginning with Academic Year 2005/06 while other items use all data available.  
 Information about the contents of this report may be found on the Web at: [http://www.ualberta.ca/AICT/TSQS/IDQ\\_reports.html](http://www.ualberta.ca/AICT/TSQS/IDQ_reports.html)



ITEM	RESPONSES FROM YOUR STUDENTS					YOUR MEDIAN	RANKS OF MEDIANS FROM OTHER CLASSES				Total Classes
	1 SD	2 D	3 N	4 A	5 SA		Tuky Fenc	25th %ile	50th %ile	75th %ile	
(Administrative Text for USRI Inserted Here)											
21 The goals and objectives of the course were clear.	0	0	3	5	7	4.4	3.3	4.0	4.2	4.4	9999+
22 In-class time was used effectively.	0	1	3	6	5	4.1	3.0	4.0	4.2	4.6	9999+
23 I am motivated to learn more about these subject areas.	2	3	3	3	4	3.3	2.4	3.6	4.0	4.4	9999+
24 I increased my knowledge of the subject areas in this course.	1	0	4	4	6	4.1	3.2	4.1	4.3	4.7	9999+
25 Overall, the quality of the course content was excellent.	0	1	5	5	4	3.8	2.9	3.8	4.1	4.4	9999+
674 The instructor spoke clearly.	0	0	0	6	9	4.7	3.1	4.1	4.5	4.8	9999+
51 The instructor was well prepared.	0	0	1	6	8	4.6	3.2	4.2	4.5	4.8	9999+
9 The instructor treated the students with respect.	0	0	3	4	8	4.6	3.6	4.4	4.7	4.9	9999+
26 The instructor provided constructive feedback throughout this course.	0	2	5	1	7	4.0	2.8	3.8	4.2	4.5	9999+
221 Overall, this instructor was excellent.	0	0	5	4	6	4.1	2.9	4.0	4.4	4.7	9999+
7 The instructor presented the material in an interesting and helpful manner.	0	1	4	6	4	3.9	2.7	3.8	4.1	4.5	9999+
209 The instructor was sensitive to student difficulties with course work.	2	1	6	2	4	3.3	2.7	3.8	4.1	4.5	5330
255 Class participation was actively encouraged.	1	0	4	2	8	4.6	3.4	4.3	4.6	4.8	4239
5 The course was well organized.	0	0	3	7	5	4.1	3.0	3.8	4.1	4.4	9999+
728 The objectives, work requirements, and grading system were made clear in the written course outline.	0	1	0	4	10	4.8	3.1	4.0	4.3	4.6	3492
729 The type and amount of assigned work were appropriate to the goals of the course.	1	1	1	9	3	4.0	3.1	3.9	4.2	4.4	3168
14 The instructor assessed my work fairly.	0	3	2	5	5	4.0	3.0	3.8	4.1	4.4	9999+

Number of students responding to questionnaire: 15

**Reference Group consists of ALL Classes**

The reference data for the Universal Items is based on results beginning with Academic Year 2005/06 while other items use all data available.  
 Information about the contents of this report may be found on the Web at: [http://www.ualberta.ca/AICT/TSQS/IDQ\\_reports.html](http://www.ualberta.ca/AICT/TSQS/IDQ_reports.html)

ITEM	RESPONSES FROM YOUR STUDENTS					YOUR MEDIAN	RANKS OF MEDIANS FROM OTHER CLASSES				Total Classes
	1 SD	2 D	3 N	4 A	5 SA		Tuky Fenc	25th %ile	50th %ile	75th %ile	
(Administrative Text for USRI Inserted Here)											
21 The goals and objectives of the course were clear.	0	0	0	3	7	4.8	3.3	4.0	4.2	4.4	9792
22 In-class time was used effectively.	0	1	1	2	6	4.7	3.0	3.9	4.2	4.5	9801
23 I am motivated to learn more about these subject areas.	0	0	0	6	4	4.3	2.6	3.8	4.2	4.5	9793
24 I increased my knowledge of the subject areas in this course.	0	0	0	5	5	4.5	3.3	4.2	4.5	4.7	9796
25 Overall, the quality of the course content was excellent.	0	0	2	6	2	4.0	2.9	3.9	4.2	4.5	9796
674 The instructor spoke clearly.	0	0	0	2	8	4.9	3.3	4.2	4.6	4.8	9154
51 The instructor was well prepared.	0	0	1	4	5	4.5	3.3	4.2	4.6	4.8	9848
9 The instructor treated the students with respect.	0	0	0	2	8	4.9	3.6	4.4	4.7	4.9	9929
26 The instructor provided constructive feedback throughout this course.	0	0	1	2	7	4.8	2.8	3.9	4.2	4.6	9740
221 Overall, this instructor was excellent.	0	0	1	4	5	4.5	2.9	4.0	4.4	4.7	9929
7 The instructor presented the material in an interesting and helpful manner.	0	1	0	6	3	4.2	2.8	3.9	4.2	4.5	5933
209 The instructor was sensitive to student difficulties with course work.	0	0	1	3	6	4.7	2.7	3.8	4.2	4.6	3018
255 Class participation was actively encouraged.	0	1	0	4	4	4.4	3.4	4.3	4.6	4.8	3443
5 The course was well organized.	0	1	0	6	2	4.1	3.0	3.9	4.2	4.5	7386
728 The objectives, work requirements, and grading system were made clear in the written course outline.	0	0	0	4	6	4.7	3.1	4.0	4.3	4.6	3157
729 The type and amount of assigned work were appropriate to the goals of the course.	0	0	0	5	5	4.5	3.1	3.9	4.2	4.4	2885
14 The instructor assessed my work fairly.	0	0	0	4	6	4.7	3.1	4.0	4.2	4.6	7251

Number of students responding to questionnaire: 10

Reference Group consists of FACULTY OF Arts Classes

The reference data for the Universal Items is based on results beginning with Academic Year 2000/01 while other items use all data available.  
 Information about the contents of this report may be found on the Web at: [http://www.ualberta.ca/CNS/TSQS/IDQ\\_reports.htm](http://www.ualberta.ca/CNS/TSQS/IDQ_reports.htm)

TIME SHOULD BE PLANNED FOR THE FACULTY...  
 NO LATER STAFFS /...  
 THE FACULTY...

ITEM	RESPONSES FROM YOUR STUDENTS					YOUR MEDIAN	RANKS OF MEDIANS FROM OTHER CLASSES				Total Classes
	1 SD	2 D	3 N	4 A	5 SA		Tuky Fenc	25th %ile	50th %ile	75th %ile	
(Administrative Text for USRI Inserted Here)											
21 The goals and objectives of the course were clear.	1	0	1	8	3	4.1	3.2	4.0	4.2	4.5	9999+
22 In-class time was used effectively.	0	1	1	6	5	4.3	3.0	4.0	4.3	4.6	9999+
23 I am motivated to learn more about these subject areas.	1	2	3	2	5	3.8	2.5	3.7	4.0	4.4	9999+
24 I increased my knowledge of the subject areas in this course.	0	0	3	6	4	4.1	3.2	4.1	4.4	4.7	9999+
25 Overall, the quality of the course content was excellent.	0	1	3	6	3	3.9	2.9	3.9	4.1	4.5	9999+
674 The instructor spoke clearly.	0	0	0	6	7	4.6	3.1	4.1	4.6	4.8	9999+
51 The instructor was well prepared.	0	1	0	7	5	4.3	3.3	4.2	4.5	4.8	9999+
9 The instructor treated the students with respect.	0	0	0	4	9	4.8	3.7	4.4	4.7	4.9	9999+
26 The instructor provided constructive feedback throughout this course.	0	0	3	5	5	4.2	2.8	3.9	4.2	4.6	9999+
221 Overall, this instructor was excellent.	0	1	2	6	4	4.1	2.9	4.0	4.4	4.7	9999+
7 The instructor presented the material in an interesting and helpful manner.	0	2	6	2	3	3.3	2.7	3.8	4.1	4.5	9999+
209 The instructor was sensitive to student difficulties with course work.	0	0	2	4	7	4.6	2.7	3.8	4.1	4.5	6566
255 Class participation was actively encouraged.	0	0	1	4	8	4.7	3.4	4.3	4.7	4.8	5313
5 The course was well organized.	1	0	3	5	4	4.0	3.0	3.9	4.1	4.4	9999+
728 The objectives, work requirements, and grading system were made clear in the written course outline.	0	0	0	4	8	4.8	3.2	4.1	4.3	4.6	4487
729 The type and amount of assigned work were appropriate to the goals of the course.	0	1	2	5	4	4.1	3.1	4.0	4.2	4.5	4018
14 The instructor assessed my work fairly.	0	0	0	6	6	4.5	2.9	3.9	4.1	4.4	9999+

Number of students responding to questionnaire: 13

**Reference Group consists of ALL Classes**

The reference data for the Universal Items is based on results beginning with Academic Year 2005/06 while other items use all data available.  
 Information about the contents of this report may be found on the Web at: [https://www.aict.ualberta.ca/unit/client-services/TSQS/idq/IDQ\\_reports](https://www.aict.ualberta.ca/unit/client-services/TSQS/idq/IDQ_reports)